

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
- United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,708	03/01/2006	Arnaud Helie	Q92887	8999
23373	7590 10/06/200	5	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			MCGRAW, TREVOR EDWIN	
	YLVANIA AVENUE	, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 800 WASHINGT	ON, DC 20037		3752	

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\epsilon$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/566,708	HELIE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Trevor McGraw	3752	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address -	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN. 136(a). In no event, however, may a divill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communica ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 l</u>	March 2006.		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	ance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits	is is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application	<b>n</b> .		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	,		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers	·		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documer			
2. Certified copies of the priority documer			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	•	n received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	t received	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certilled copies no	it received.	
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 02/01/2006.</li> </ul>	_	Informal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/566,708

Art Unit: 3752

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,2,4,8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Garrigou (US 3,625,437).

In regard to Claims 1, 2, 4, 8 and 10, Garrigou (3,625,437) teaches a fluid spray head manufactured from a common mold where the spray head has an expulsion channel (13) with a spray orifice (14) and a spray profile (15,16,17) where non radial feed channels are formed to the swirling chamber that is disposed upstream of the spray orifice (14) where an insert (9) is disposed in the expulsion channel (13) so as to form a cover for the spray profile (15,16,17) where the central axis of the insert (9) is substantially identical to the central axis of the expulsion channel (13) (Figure 4) and where the expulsion channel (13) further has a centering means (19) for centering the insert (9) within the spray head where the centering means is in close proximity to the spray profile (15,16,17) where accesses of the expulsion channel (13) of the feed channel are formed between the projections (Figure 4). The centering means (19) of Garrigou comprises at least three projections that are inscribed in a circle and have a diameter that is substantially identical to the insert (9) diameter (Figure 4).

Application/Control Number: 10/566,708

Art Unit: 3752

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3,5-7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garrigou (US 3,625,437) in view of Ennis, III (US 4,923,448).

In regard to claim 3, Garrigou as taught above discloses and teaches the claimed invention except for the expulsion channel (13) having three flat surfaces that are symmetrically arranged about the expulsion channel (13) where the flat surfaces cooperate with the insert (9) to center the insert (9) relative to the expulsion channel (13). Ennis, III (4,923,448) teaches that it is known to have an expulsion channel (52) that includes at least 3 flat surfaces (64) that are symmetrically placed about the expulsion channel (13). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the flat surfaces (64) of the expulsion channel (52) as taught by Ennis, III to the expulsion channel (13) of Garrigou, in order to provide for a non cylindrical means for centering the insert (9) about the expulsion channel (13) so as to offer better tolerance control in offsetting the insert from the expulsion channel.

In regard to claims 5-7 and 9, Garrigou as taught above discloses the claimed invention except for the following: a central axis of the insert (9) being offset from the

Page 4

Art Unit: 3752

central axis of the expulsion channel (13) by a distance of less than 0.08 mm, and preferably less than 0.03 mm; a spray chamber having a diameter of 1 mm; a spray orifice having a diameter of 0.3 mm; and the standard deviation of the offset between the central axis of the insert relative to the central axis of the expulsion channel being less than 0.05 mm and preferably less than 0.02 mm. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to offset the central axis of the insert (9) from the central axis of the expulsion channel (13) by a distance of less than 0.08 mm, and preferably less than 0.03 mm as applicant has not disclosed that offsetting the central axis of the insert (9) from the central axis of the expulsion channel (13) by a distance of less than 0.08 mm, and preferably less than 0.03 mm solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the central axis' not being offset from one another.

It would have also been an obvious matter of design choice to provide for a spray chamber having a diameter of 1 mm and a spray orifice having a diameter of 0.3 mm as providing for such dimensions of the spray chamber and spray orifice as claimed are not disclosed by applicant so as to solve any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and appear that the invention would perform equally well if the dimensions of the spray chamber of 1 mm and the spray orifice of 0.3 mm were larger.

It would have been a further obvious matter of design choice to provide for a standard deviation of less than 0.05 mm and preferably less than 0.02 mm for the offset between the central axis of the insert relative to the central axis of the expulsion channel since applicant has not disclosed that providing for a standard deviation of less than

Art Unit: 3752

0.05 mm and preferably less than 0.02 mm for the offset between the central axis of the insert relative to the central axis of the expulsion channel solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well without an offset between the insert and expulsion channel.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kamishita et al. (US 5,064,122), Green (US 3,129,893), .

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trevor McGraw whose telephone number is (571) 272-7375. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (2nd & 4th Friday Off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on (571) 272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3752

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Trevor/McGráw

Art Unit 3752

**TEM**